



Competition: Commission report finds active competition enforcement contributes to affordable and innovative medicines

Brussels, 28 January 2019

The European Commission has published a report showing that active competition law enforcement, at EU and national level, in the pharmaceutical sector contributes to delivering more affordable medicines and more choice to patients and healthcare systems, and promotes further innovation.

Margrethe **Vestager**, Commissioner in charge of competition policy, said "*Giving European patients and healthcare systems access to affordable and innovative medicines is one of Europe's main challenges and objectives. The report published today provides key insights into the valuable work that competition authorities across Europe are doing to ensure that pharmaceutical markets help achieve this goal. It is important that we continue giving a high priority to our work in this area.*"

The report provides an overview on the enforcement of antitrust and merger rules in the pharmaceutical sector and describes how competition law enforcement has contributed to improving European patients' access to affordable and innovative essential medicines. It focuses on the period since 2009, when the Commission carried out an [inquiry into competition in the pharmaceutical sector](#).

The Commission drafted the report in close cooperation with the national competition authorities of the 28 EU Member States, with which the Commission works in the European Competition Network.

On the basis of the insights gained from the report, the Commission and the national competition authorities will continue their enforcement efforts in the pharmaceutical sector, which remains a matter of high priority taking into account the importance of the sector both economically and in terms of impact on peoples' well-being and lives.

Main findings of the report

Fair prices for medicines

With respect to **anti-competitive agreements and cases of abuse of a dominant position**, the Commission and national competition authorities have investigated well over 100 cases and have adopted **29 decisions** against unlawful practices in the supply of medicines since 2009:

- In these cases, the competition authorities have investigated and sanctioned anti-competitive practices that lead to **higher prices of medicines**.
- The **anticompetitive practices** ranged from (i) exclusionary conduct to delay the entry of generic medicines into the market, to (ii) market sharing/price fixing practices and (iii) pay-for-delay agreements, where originator and generic companies colluded to keep the generics off the market and shared the originator's profits from doing so. Several investigations concerned (iv) excessive prices charged for off-patent medicines.
- These decisions imposed **finances totalling over €1 billion**, or made binding companies' commitments to remedy their anti-competitive behaviour.

Higher prices may also result from **mergers of pharmaceutical companies** where the pricing power of the merged company is strengthened. To prevent pharmaceutical markets from becoming overly concentrated, **the Commission reviewed more than 80 mergers** in the pharmaceutical sector. Competition concerns were detected in 19 cases, where mergers could have led to price increases, in particular for generic products or biosimilar products. The Commission cleared these mergers only after the companies committed to divest parts of their businesses in order to preserve the existing degree of price competition.

In addition, the competition authorities undertook more than **100 market monitoring and advocacy activities**. These offered insight into the functioning of the markets, provided guidance to market participants, and triggered antitrust investigations in some individual cases. Advocacy activities influenced the design of regulation and legislation and helped to create or restore conditions more conducive to effective and fair competition.

More innovation and choice

The report found that competition enforcers helped **maintain the level of innovation** in the sector by intervening, using antitrust rules, against practices that could have distorted the incentives to innovate. This relates in particular to attempts to delay the entry of generic medicines into the market, which can allow companies to unduly draw profits from older products instead of having to compete with new, innovative medicines.

The Commission's merger control activity also contributed to **innovation and increased choice** of medicines, by preventing transactions that could have compromised research and development efforts to launch new medicines or to extend the therapeutic use of existing medicines.

Scope for further enforcement action

The antitrust and merger cases cited in the report show that the pharmaceutical sector requires close scrutiny by competition authorities. The enforcement record of the Commission and the national competition authorities provides a solid basis for competition authorities to continue working and focusing their enforcement efforts in the sector.

However, while the cases discussed in the report show that competition law enforcement helps to safeguard competition on prices and to stimulate innovation, there are limits to what competition law can do. In particular, continuous efforts by all stakeholders are needed to address the societal challenge of ensuring sustainable access to affordable and innovative medicines.

The executive summary and the report are available in all official EU languages on the [Commission's competition website](#).

Background

In its [Conclusions on strengthening the balance in the pharmaceutical systems in the EU and its Member States](#) of 17 June 2016, the Council expressed several concerns regarding patients' access to affordable medicines. Referring to the Commission's [2009 Pharmaceutical Sector Inquiry Report](#), which stressed that a competitive market for medicinal products benefits from vigilant competition law scrutiny, the Council invited the Commission to prepare a report on competition cases following this sector inquiry.

The European Parliament, in its [Resolution on EU options for improving access to medicines](#) of 2 March 2017 also expressed concerns about insufficient access to essential medicinal products and high prices of innovative medicines, recognising the importance and effectiveness of antitrust tools against anti-competitive behaviour such as the abuse or misuse of patent systems and of the system for authorisation of medicines.

The report published today was drafted to describe, in response to the concerns raised separately by the Council and the European Parliament, the contribution that competition law enforcement can make to achieving the objectives of affordable and innovative medicines.

The Commission and Member States' competition authorities co-operate closely within the European Competition Network. National competition authorities are fully empowered to apply [Articles 101 and 102](#) of the Treaty of the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU). If a certain conduct does not affect cross-border trade, the national competition authorities apply their national antitrust laws, which are often a reflection of EU law. The Commission is entrusted with reviewing mergers with an EU dimension, i.e. where the merging companies' turnovers meet the thresholds laid out in the [EU Merger Regulation](#). If these thresholds are not met, a merger can be caught by national jurisdictions and reviewed by one or several national competition authorities.

IP/19/741

Press contacts:

[Ricardo CARDOSO](#) (+32 2 298 01 00)

[Maria TSONI](#) (+32 2 299 05 26)

General public inquiries: [Europe Direct](#) by phone [00 800 67 89 10 11](#) or by [email](#)